

CSSI's NARIM Suite of Airspace Analysis Tools

March 12, 2003
William Weiss
Stéphane Mondoloni
Ted Thrasher



NARIM

◆ National Airspace Resource and Investment Model (NARIM)

- Series of air traffic operations analysis tools developed by CSSI (and others) for FAA and NASA
- For analysis of effects of proposed advances in NAS
 - ◆ Local
 - ◆ Systemwide

The Tools

◆ CSSI-developed tools

- OPGEN—Optimal Trajectory Generator
- OPGEN-Fuel
- T³—Total Traffic Tool
- Find Crossings
- ETMS Data Parser
- Architecture and infrastructure models

◆ Other tools

- FDG—Future Demand Generator
- RAMS—Re-organized ATC Mathematical Simulator developed for Eurocontrol

OPGEN

- ◆ Calculates optimal four-dimensional flight profiles that obey...
 - Operational constraints
 - Aircraft-specific performance constraints
- ◆ Uses genetic algorithm to find optimal trajectories
 - Minimize fuel consumed to meet desired time en route
 - If can't meet desired time, then time en route is minimized

OPGEN (continued)

◆ Operational constraints

- Rules for direction of flight (CVSM, RVSM)
- Special-Use Airspace (SUA)
- Rules on routes of flight on departure and arrival

◆ Performance constraints

- Ceiling as a function of aircraft weight
- Climb rate versus maximum power setting
- Maximum operational mach number
- Minimum speed
- Maximum descent rate

OPGEN (continued)

- ◆ Can vary constraints to calculate benefits of various scenarios
- ◆ Also, can model constant-mach/CAS (calibrated airspeed) descents
- ◆ Products
 - Flight times
 - Fuel burned
 - Inputs to T³ and Find Crossings

OPGEN-Fuel

- ◆ OPGEN version that calculates fuel burned
 - Calculates fuel burned for existing trajectories
 - Models 70 aircraft types

T³—Total Traffic Tool

- ◆ Calculates potential conflicts
 - Does this very efficiently
 - Identifies conflicts for entire NAS in 10-15 minutes
- ◆ Identifies conflicts between pairs of aircraft based on user-specified horizontal and vertical separation criteria
- ◆ Requires set of four-dimensional flight trajectories as input (from OPGEN or ETMS)
- ◆ Produces for each conflict
 - Location
 - Conflict geometry
 - Closing velocity

Find Crossings

- ◆ Identifies each flight's use of NAS resources
 - Sectors
 - Radar coverage areas
 - Communications frequency coverage
 - Any other service volume
- ◆ Most often used to identify times and locations of sector entries and exits
- ◆ Can also used to determine SUA activity prior to generating OPGEN trajectories

Find Crossings (continued)

◆ Products

- Instantaneous loads
- Average loads
- Crossing times and locations
- Throughput
- By subsector or sector

ETMS Parser

- ◆ Parses raw Enhanced Traffic Management System (ETMS) data and puts it into a useful format
- ◆ Creates demand scenarios (flight profiles for entire NAS) that reflect current operations
- ◆ Two parsing output options:
 1. How aircraft actually flew, including all controller interventions
 2. Flights as filed and subsequently amended by flight operators

ETMS Parser (concluded)

- ◆ Further option: analyst can select level of amendments included, such as
 - Last amendment prior to departure
 - All amendments

Architecture and Infrastructure Modeling

- ◆ Users can determine effects of changes in demand on NAS infrastructure components under different operational scenarios
- ◆ Informal tools consist of simulations of NAS components and demand on them

FDG—Future Demand Generator

- ◆ Originally developed by MITRE in support of NASPAC
 - User enters demand forecasts
 - FDG distributes demand throughout day using Frataring algorithm
 - CSSI has improved its performance

RAMS

- ◆ Detailed air traffic simulation developed for Eurocontrol
- ◆ Resolves conflicts through conflict-resolution rule base
 - Default rule base supplied, but can be modified by analyst
 - CSSI analysts consulted with controllers and modified en-route rule base for use in U.S.
- ◆ CSSI has demonstrated prototype dynamic OPGEN-RAMS integration
 - Enables analysts to conduct fast-time simulation of in-flight re-planning tools

Recent Applications

- ◆ NASA Distributed Air/Ground Traffic Management (DAG-TM) work
 - Generated optimized trajectories for baseline case (similar to EDA)
 - ◆ Computed fuel burn of trajectories
 - ◆ Computed conflicts and severity
 - ◆ Assigned fuel costs of conflicts
 - Repeated process for Concept Elements 5 and 6

Recent Applications (continued)

◆ CPDLC benefits analysis

- Manually reviewed radar and voice data for transition airspace
 - ◆ Found conflicts resolved less than optimally
 - ◆ Also found inefficient level-offs
 - ◆ Determined relationship between inefficiencies and voice-channel utilization
- Then generated 2001 NAS-wide scenario
 - ◆ Parsed ETMS data and assigned RVSM data for future scenarios
 - ◆ Used Find Crossings to generate sector crossings
 - ◆ Used T³ to generate conflicts

Recent Applications (continued)

- ◆ CPDLC Benefits (continued)
 - Calculated NAS-wide voice-channel utilization rates using Find Crossings and T³ results
 - Using relationship determined earlier, calculated reduced voice-channel utilization resulting from CPDLC
 - Determined reduction in fuel and time costs
- ◆ Used updated Future Demand Generator to create schedules for 2010 and 2020
 - Created trajectories for new demand
 - Ran tools to generate sector counts and conflicts
- ◆ Then repeated process of estimating benefits for 2010 and 2020

Recent Applications (continued)

◆ “Big Iron” infrastructure study

- Wrote simulation to determine communication requirements for ground-based DST supporting airborne self-separation concepts
 - ◆ “Big Iron” concept is ground-based tool that resolves conflicts and supplies pilots with data
 - ◆ Modeled entire exchange of info between DST and cockpit
 - ◆ Measured latency
 - ◆ Determined that there is enough bandwidth to put DST on ground and allow aircraft to separate themselves

Recent Applications (concluded)

◆ VOR discontinuance study

- Study supported FAA's development of discontinuance methodology for VORs
- Using ETMS "as-filed" parser, extracted 54 days of fully-amended IFR flight plans
- Amended routes from those flight plans were then expanded to identify all fixes that were crossed
 - ◆ DPs and STARs were also included, when filed
 - ◆ VORs used to define those fixes were counted, then grouped by filed equipment code and type of operator